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ABSTRACT: We report the synthesis of $N^{2}$-aryl (benzyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl, and pyrenyl)-deoxyguanosine (dG) modified phosphoramidite building blocks and the corresponding damaged DNAs. Primer extension studies using E. coli Pol IV, a translesion polymerase, demonstrate that translesion synthesis (TLS) across these $N^{2}$-dG adducts is error free. However, the efficiency of TLS activity decreases with increase in the steric bulkiness of the adducts. Molecular dynamics simulations of damaged DNA-Pol IV complexes reveal the van der Waals interactions between key amino acid residues (Phe13, Ile31, Gly32, Gly33, Ser42, Pro73, Gly74, Phe76, and Tyr79) of the enzyme and adduct that help to accommodate the bulky damages in a hydrophobic pocket to facilitate TLS. Overall, the results presented here provide insights into the TLS across $N^{2}$-aryl-dG damaged DNAs by Pol IV.

## INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are fused benzenoid ring containing compounds that are well-known for their carcinogenic and mutagenic properties. ${ }^{1}$ The exocyclic amino group of deoxyguanosine (dG) acts as a softer reaction center to form major carcinogenic $N^{2}$-dG DNA adducts. ${ }^{2,3}$ These adducts can lead to genomic alterations via stalling replicative polymerases. To avoid any detrimental consequence, cells use specialized low-fidelity DNA polymerases belonging to the Yfamily to bypass the damaged sites. This process is called translesion synthesis (TLS), an evolutionarily conserved DNA damage tolerance pathway, which can be error-free or errorprone. ${ }^{4}$ Among various TLS polymerases, Pol IV from E. coli is well-known for accurate and efficient bypass of $N^{2}$-dG adducts. ${ }^{5-7}$ Pol IV is an ortholog of human TLS polymerase, Pol $\kappa .^{5}$ A systematic study on the effects of the steric bulkiness of $N^{2}$-dG adducts on TLS by E. coli Pol IV has yet to be reported.

Among the $N^{2}$-dG DNA adducts, $N^{2}$-benzyl-dG ( $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-$ dG, Figure 1) is potentially formed from three key carcinogens. N -Nitroso- N -benzylurea ( BnNU ) and N -nitrosobenzylmethylamine (NBnMA) could form $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}$
adducts via benzyldiazonium ion ${ }^{8}$ and methyl hydroxylation ${ }^{9}$ pathway, respectively. Whereas, benzyl halides could directly act as a benzylating agent. ${ }^{10,11}$ Among these carcinogens, NBnMA is believed to form $N^{7}$-benzyl-dG adduct in in vivo conditions, since the $N^{\top}$-position is one of the major site of DNA alkylation. ${ }^{11}$ The 9 -(sulfoxymethyl)anthracene is an electrophilic metabolite of 9-hydroxymethyl-anthracene, which was alluded to be responsible for the formation of $N^{2}$-(9-anthracenylmethyl)-dG adduct ( $N^{2}$-Anth-dG, Figure 1). ${ }^{12}$ Halomethyl-anthracenes such as bromo and chloromethyl derivatives have as well been suggested to alkylate the $N^{2}$-position of dG. ${ }^{13}$ 1-Methylpyrene, a common environmental pollutant can enzymatically be activated to 1 (hydroxymethyl)pyrene, which in turn can be converted to 1 -(sulfoxymethyl)pyrene (SMP). SMP on reaction with DNA leads to the formation of $N^{2}$-(1-pyrenylmethyl)-dG adduct ( $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG, Figure 1). ${ }^{14,15}$ Though $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}, N^{2}$-Naph, and $N^{2}$-Anth (Figure 1) adducts are yet to be detected in cellular
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Figure 1. Structures of $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts which originate from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.
Scheme 1. Synthesis of $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}, N^{2}$-Naph, $N^{2}$-Anth, and $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG Modified Phosphoramidites $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagent and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},(R)$-BINAP, respective amines, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in EtOH, rt; (iii) DMT-Cl, pyridine, rt; (iv) CEP-Cl, DIPEA, DCM, rt.

DNA, the $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG adduct was detected in DNA from lung, liver, and kidney tissues of mice. ${ }^{14}$

To unravel the molecular mechanism of TLS across $N^{2}$ -aryl-dG adducts formed by nondiol epoxide metabolic pathways by using various biochemical and biophysical methods, the corresponding modified DNA oligonucleotides are required. Herein we report a short and reliable synthesis of $N^{2}$-dG adducts of varying steric bulkiness and the corresponding modified DNAs. Using primer extension assays, molecular dynamics studies, we were able to gain
insights on the TLS by E. coli Pol IV across $N^{2}$-(Bn, Naph, Anth, Pyre)-dG damaged templates.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To access various $N^{2}$-dG damaged DNAs, either phosphoramidite or postoligomerization approaches were reported. ${ }^{16-18}$ Synthesis of the $N^{2}$-Bn-dG adduct was achieved by different methods such as substitution of C2-trimethanesulfonate group bearing dG by benzylamine, ${ }^{19}$ aromatic nucleophilic substitution of 2-fluoro-2'-deoxyinosine (2-

Table 1. $N^{2}$-dG Damaged Oligonucleotides and Their Molecular Weights ${ }^{a}$

| Code | DNA Sequences (5'-3') | Mol wt. <br> (Calcd.) | Mol wt. <br> (Found) | Error, \% <br> (found-calcd.) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | TCTAG1GGTCCTAGGACCC | 5566.7 | 5566.0 | -0.01 |
| D2 | TCTG1GGGTCCTAGGACCC | 5581.7 | 5581.9 | 0.004 |
| D3 | TCTAGGG1TCCTAGGACCC | 5566.7 | 5567.3 | 0.01 |
| D4 | TCCTACCGTGCCTACCTGAACAGCTG | 15358 | 15354.8 | -0.02 |
|  | GTCACACTG1ATGCCTACGAGTACG |  |  |  |
| D5 | TCTAG2GGTCCTAGGACCC | 5616.8 | 5616.8 | 0 |
| D6 | TCTG2GGGTCCTAGGACCC | 5632.8 | 5635.1 | 0.04 |
| D7 | TCTAGGG2TCCTAGGACCC | 5616.8 | 5617.4 | 0.01 |
| D8 | TCCTACCGTGCCTACCTGAACAGCT | 15408.1 | 15409.1 | 0.007 |
|  | GGTCACACTG2ATGCCTACGAGTACG |  |  |  |
| D9 | TCTAG3GGTCCTAGGACCC | 5666.9 | 5667.6 | 0.01 |
| D10 | TCTG3GGGTCCTAGGACCC | 5682.9 | 5682.0 | -0.02 |
| D11 | TCTAGGG3TCCTAGGACCC | 5666.9 | 5666.9 | 0.001 |
| D12 | TCCTACCGTGCCTACCTGAACAGCTG | 15458.2 | 15453.8 | -0.03 |
|  | GTCACACTG3ATGCCTACGAGTACG |  |  |  |
| D13 | TCTAG4GGTCCTAGGACCC | 5690.9 | 5690.2 | -0.01 |
| D14 | TCTG4GGGTCCTAGGACCC | 5706.9 | 5705.8 | -0.02 |
| D15 | TCTAGGG4TCCTAGGACCC | 5690.9 | 5689.9 | -0.02 |
| D16 | TCCTACCGTGCCTACCTGAACAGCTG | 15482.2 | 15480.9 | -0.01 |
|  | GTCACACTG4ATGCCTACGAGTACG |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Structures of modifications $\underline{G 1}\left(N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}\right), \underline{G 2}\left(N^{2}-\mathrm{Naph}-\mathrm{dG}\right), \underline{\mathrm{G} 3}$ ( $N^{2}$-Anth-dG), and $\underline{G 4}$ ( $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG) are shown in Figure 1 . The modified DNAs were characterized by MALDI-TOF in the positive reflectron/linear mode or negative ion electrospray ionization (ESI, only for D2) technique (calculated and found).
fluoro-dI), ${ }^{20}$ reaction of dG with benzyl bromide, ${ }^{10,21}$ reduction of $N^{2}$-benzoyl group using reducing agents, ${ }^{22}$ reductive amination of dG with benzaldehyde, ${ }^{23}$ and by Buchwald-Hartwig ( $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ ) coupling between TBDMS protected 2-bromo-2'-deoxyinosine (2-bromo-dI) with benzylamine. ${ }^{24}$ The $N^{2}$-Naph-dG adduct was synthesized using nucleophilic substitution of DMT protected 2-fluoro-dI with the corresponding amine. ${ }^{20}$ Synthesis of $N^{2}$-Anth-dG adduct was achieved using $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling ${ }^{24}$ or by the hydrolysis of $2^{\prime}$-deoxy-4-desmethylwyosine. ${ }^{13}$ The postoligomerization approach was also utilized for the synthesis of $N^{2}$-Anth-dG adduct employing 2-fluoro-dI bearing oligonucleotide. ${ }^{23}$ The $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG adduct was acessed by fluoro substitution method using 2 -fluoro-dI convertible nucleoside and 1 (aminomethyl)pyrene. ${ }^{25}$

Our objective was to develop a robust protocol to synthesize $N^{2}$-aryl-dG modified nucleoside and corresponding oligonucleotides (Figure 1) from a common precursor. To achieve this, first, we attempted various reported methods. However, in our hands, many of those methods were marred by lack of reproducibility, capricious yields, and poor solubility of various intermediates/amidites. ${ }^{26}$ In the present work, we report a reliable and efficient method for the synthesis of various $N^{2}$-aryl-dG modified phosphoramidite building blocks employing $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling as the key step. These modified building blocks were synthesized in the presence of a versatile para-nitrophenylethyl (NPE) protect-
ing group at the $O^{6}$-position of dG. This route is more convenient since all of the bulky modifications can be synthesized from a common precursor with good yields.

Synthesis of $N^{2}-B n, N^{2}$-Naph, $N^{2}$-Anth, and $N^{2}$-PyredG Modified Phosphoramidites 5a-d. The synthetic Scheme 1 was utilized to access $N^{2}$-aryl-dG ( $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}, N^{2}$-Naph, $N^{2}$-Anth, and $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG) phosphoramidite building blocks. Using the protected $2-\mathrm{Br}$-dI, $\mathbf{1}$, as a common precursor, ${ }^{27}$ the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation was carried out with different polycyclic aromatic amines in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, (R)-BINAP, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in toluene. ${ }^{28}$ For $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{2}$-NaphdG modifications, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling were carried out using commercially available amine, while for $N^{2}$-Anth-dG and $N^{2}$ -Pyre-dG modifications, the respective amines were prepared by reported methods. ${ }^{25,29,30}$ Deprotection of acyl protecting group was accomplished using $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in EtOH (v/v) to obtain $N^{2}$-aryl-dG diols ( $\mathbf{3 a - d}$ ). Final modified phosphoramidites $5 \mathbf{5}$-d were synthesized by the protection of the $5^{\prime}$ OH group using DMT-Cl, followed by phosphitylation reaction using CEP-Cl, DIPEA in DCM.

Solid Phase Synthesis of $N^{2}$-dG Modified Oligonucleotides. The $N^{2}$-dG modified phosphoramidite building blocks ( $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{- 5 d}$ ) were used to synthesize the desired modified oligonucleotides. The presence of versatile NPE protecting group at $O^{6}$-position of dG provided enhanced solubility of respective phosphoramidites during solid phase DNA synthesis. ${ }^{31}$ We have chosen the NPE protecting group because


Figure 2. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of primer extension reactions with single and with the mixture of dNTPs using unmodified (dG) and modified ( $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts) templates employing E. coli. Pol IV. (A) complete sequence of the primer, P ( $15-\mathrm{mer}$ ) and the template, T ( $50-\mathrm{mer}$ ); (B) reactions with dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{dG}$; lanes: P, primer; C, dCTP; A, dATP; G, dGTP; T, dTTP; N, mixture of dNTPs); (C) reactions with $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}$ template; (D) reactions with $N^{2}-\mathrm{Naph}-\mathrm{dG}$ template; (E) reactions with $N^{2}$-Anth-dG template; and (F) reactions with $N^{2}-\mathrm{Pyre}-\mathrm{dG}$ template. All reactions were carried out at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h time course.


Figure 3. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of full-length extension reactions employing Pol IV from E. coli with all dNTPs. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, $\mathrm{P}(15-\mathrm{mer})$; ( B ) reactions with dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{dG}$ ); (C) reactions with $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$-dG template; (D) reactions with $N^{2}-$ Naph-dG template; (E) reactions with $N^{2}$-Anth-dG template; (F) reactions with $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG template. Lane P, primer; lanes 1 to 6 : primer extension reactions with $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of mixture of dNTPs in different time course from $30 \mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 1,2,4$, and 8 h ; lane C, $50-\mathrm{mer}$ size standard. All of the reactions were carried out at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
of its chemical inertness and its stability in the presence of mild acids and bases. ${ }^{32}$ The $N^{2}$-aryl-dG damaged DNA sequences (Table 1) were synthesized using automated DNA synthesizer employing appropriate controlled pore glass (CPG) solid supports. The 18 -mer DNA sequences (Table 1) were utilized for crystallization with complementary 14mer primer, incoming nucleotide and E. coli Pol IV. ${ }^{33}$ These sequences were designed for easy assembling of the three functional complexes of Pol IV with three different DNA duplexes containing the $N^{2}$-dG adduct and incoming nucleotide. The 50 -mer $N^{2}$-dG DNA template sequences
were synthesized for the primer extension studies (Table 1). Deprotection of $N^{2}$-dG modified DNAs was carried out in four different steps. The first step involved the selective deprotection of the cyanoethyl group using $10 \%$ diethylamine in ACN. ${ }^{34}$ The deprotection of the NPE group was performed using 1 M DBU in $\mathrm{ACN}{ }^{23}$ The cleavage of the CPG support and deprotection of the base protecting groups were carried out separately by treatment of aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. Modified DNAs were PAGE purified and the integrity of modified DNAs was confirmed by ESI-MS or MALDI-TOF (Table 1).


Figure 4. DNA-Pol IV ternary complex used for MD simulations. The initial structure of $N^{2}$-Bn complex prepared from fdG containing crystal structure (PDB ID: 4Q43). The red colored rectangle is used to highlight the active site and zoomed view of the active site. Other complexes are shown in Figure S9, Supporting Information. Cyan, magenta, green, and yellow are used for polymerase associated domain (PAD) and finger, palm, and thumb domains, respectively. DNA backbone is represented in orange-red color. The $N^{2}$-modified residue is highlighted in desire red color. Carbon atoms are represented in white (desire red for $N^{2}$-Bn-dG), nitrogen atoms in blue, oxygen atoms in red, and magnesium ions in orange color.

Primer Extension Studies Using E. coli DNA Pol IV. Primer extension reactions with $E$. coli Pol IV were carried out using a primer-template system as shown in Figure 2A. Reactions were carried out with individual dNTP or with a mixture of dNTPs employing unmodified and modified DNA templates and were monitored at various time courses from 30 s to 2 h (Figure 2 and Figures S1-S5 of the Supporting Information). In the case of single nucleotide incorporation reactions, we observed a prominent extension product of 16 nucleotide length, which corresponds to correct base (dCTP) incorporation across all of the $N^{2}$-dG modified templates (Figure 2B-F, lane C). With the use of 4 nM of Pol IV, $\sim 94 \%$ incorporation of the correct base was observed opposite to unmodified dG template at 1.5 h time period (Figure 2B, lane C). For the $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}$ modification $\sim 80 \%$ (Figure 2C, lane C), for the $N^{2}-\mathrm{Naph}-\mathrm{dG}$ modification $\sim 75 \%$ (Figure 2D, lane C), for the $N^{2}$-Anth-dG modification $\sim 62 \%$ (Figure 2E, lane C), and for the $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG modification $\sim 64 \%$ (Figure 2F, lane C) incorporation products were observed after 1.5 h . These results reveal that the TLS employing Pol IV is error free irrespective of the steric bulkiness of the $N^{2}$-dG adducts. ${ }^{5,35}$ However, incorporation efficiency of Pol IV decreases with increasing steric bulkiness from $N^{2}$-Bn to $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG adducts. It should be noted that, in addition to the correct incorporation, a trace amount of dTTP misincorporation was also observed opposite to the $N^{2}$-Bn-dG $\left(\sim 10 \%\right.$, Figure 2C, lane T) and the $N^{2}$-Naph-dG modifications ( $\sim 4 \%$, Figure 2D, lane T).

To verify the efficiency of E. coli Pol IV toward full length extension of the primer, running start experiments were carried out using $15-$ mer and 11 -mer primers with the modified DNA templates. Running start experiments using 15 -mer primer showed that the DNA Pol IV is unable to synthesize full length products irrespective of the chemical nature of the damage (Figure 3B-F). Similar results were
obtained in running start experiments with 11-mer primer (Figures S6 and S7 of the Supporting Information). As expected, in the case of an unmodified template, we observed traces of the full-length product. These results are in line with the low processivity reported for E. coli Pol IV, which is a hallmark of this family of DNA polymerases. ${ }^{5,36}$ It should be noted that Pol IV belongs to the Y-family of DNA polymerases, and members of this family are known to bypass DNA lesions and rescue replication stalled at damaged nucleotides. The majority of Y-family DNA polymerases serve only to extend the replication fork past the DNA lesion after which they are replaced by replicative DNA polymerases. ${ }^{4}$

Molecular Modeling and Dynamics Studies. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been carried out to elucidate the factors that facilitate TLS across $N^{2}$-dG DNA adducts by E. coli Pol IV. The reported X-ray crystal structure of furfuryl modified $N^{2}$-dG DNA adduct in complex with the E. coli Pol IV (PDB ID: $4 \mathrm{Q} 43^{6}$ ) was used to prepare the starting structures. The $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts, which were used in the experimental studies (Figure 1) were incorporated into the DNA (Figure S8, Supporting Information). A total of four DNA-Pol IV ternary complexes (enzyme, DNA, and incoming nucleotide components) were prepared and were subjected to 100 ns of unrestrained MD simulations (Figures 4 and S9, Supporting Information). Complexes were generated only for the insertion stage of dCTP. Trajectory analysis of each of these complexes illuminated the key interactions between the DNA and Pol IV at the active site.

Stability of the DNA-Pol IV Ternary Complexes. To confirm the adequate sampling and the stability of DNA-Pol IV ternary complexes, root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) of backbone atoms of DNA and protein were calculated separately with respect to the first frame. Protein backbone showed marginal deviations with an average RMSD value $<1.8 \AA$ for all of the complexes (Figure S10, Supporting

Information). The DNA backbone showed considerable fluctuations with an average RMSD value $>2.0 \AA$. In order to further investigate such large fluctuations in the DNA backbone, root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) values were calculated for DNA with respect to the first frame. Only a few nucleotides which do not interact with protein showed larger fluctuations, whereas the nucleotides around the active site showed fewer fluctuations (Figure S11, Supporting Information). RMSF values of protein residues showed only nominal fluctuations in all of the complexes (Figure S12, Supporting Information). Also, the distance between the $\alpha$ phosphate of dCTP and the O atom of $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$ of the primer terminal dG is $<4.0 \AA$ in all of the complexes, indicative of a productive conformation for the nucleotide incorporation at the insertion stage. The incoming nucleotide dCTP forms Watson-Crick (W-C) H-bonding with dG at the active site. These W-C H-bonds were monitored through the course of dynamics, and the percentage occupancy of each of H-bond was calculated (Table 2). The H-bond (a) formed by dG: $N^{2}$ -

Table 2. Percentage Occupancies of Each H-Bond at the Active Site of E. coli Pol IV ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ The dotted lines indicate the H -bond present between the dG and incoming nucleotide dCTP. Red arrow indicates the position of modification.

H $\cdots$ O2:dCTP is affected to some extent in all the complexes. The interaction between the surrounding amino acids (AAs) with the $N^{2}$-modifications, made the orientation of the $N^{2}$-modified dG unfavorable for $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C} \mathrm{H}$-bonding at certain time frames during the dynamics. The H -bond labeled (a) in Table 2 is most affected in Anth complex in which the H-bond (b) was also disturbed (Table 2).

Clustering Analysis. MD snapshots ( 100 ns ) of each of the complexes were clustered into 5 ensembles based on hierarchical agglomerative approach. ${ }^{37}$ The percentage of each ensemble out of 100 ns of dynamics is tabulated in Table 3. To identify the differences in the major ensembles, representative structures of ensemble 1 and ensemble 2 were superimposed for all of the complexes. These representative structures differed primarily in the orientations of terminal nucleotides of DNA. The similarities in the orientations of the AAs surrounding the modifications are highlighted in Figure 5. The residues surrounding $N^{2}$-Anth-dG adduct showed least differences compared to other adducts. Minor differences were observed in the orientations of Ser42 and Phe 76 residues in the other complexes. ${ }^{5,6}$

Table 3. Percentage Occupancies of Each Ensemble out of 100 ns of Dynamics ${ }^{a}$

| complex with adduct | ensemble 1 | ensemble 2 | ensemble 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N^{2}$-Bn-dG | $43.3 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| $N^{2}$-Naph-dG | $59.9 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $N^{2}$-Anth-dG | $56.4 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG | $47.8 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ |

${ }^{a}$ Every second frame was considered, and a total of 25000 frames were considered for the calculation. Clustering was performed using hierarchical agglomerative approach, which was implemented by the CPPTRAJ ${ }^{38}$ module of AMBER14 ${ }^{39}$

To find out the similarities between the four ternary complexes at the active site, the representative structures of ensemble 1 of all of the complexes were superimposed onto each other (Figure S13, Supporting Information). Orientations of the $N^{2}$-modified moieties were found to be strikingly similar to that of the furfuryl adduct in the active site of DNA-Pol IV complex as revealed by its crystal structure (PDBID: 4Q43). This implies that the Pol IV orients $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ modified moieties into a particular conformation to facilitate TLS. To validate this, the the dihedral angle labeled Xi $(\xi)$ (Figure 6) was monitored through the course of dynamics. $\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{Naph}$, and Pyre complexes appeared to follow a pattern, but the Anth complex showed slight deviations. This difference might be a result of the unique position of linkage of the anthracene moiety to the nucleobase (Figure 1). The average dihedral angle values of $103.18^{\circ}, 107.58^{\circ}, 148.13^{\circ}$, and $96.31^{\circ}$ were observed for Bn, Naph, Anth, and Pyre complexes, respectively. Based on the above results, few important AA residues were identified, and the trajectory was further analyzed to understand the interaction patterns between these adducts and AAs and also to probe the nature of the forces responsible for the accommodation of adducts in the active site.

Key Noncovalent Interactions and Interaction Energies. Average interaction energies of the $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts with the surrounding AA residues were calculated to identify the nature of interactions in the active site. Since our focus is the modified nucleobases, only residues in close proximity to these modifications (within $6 \AA$ ) were chosen for the calculations. Interaction energies were calculated using the MM/GBSA method implemented in AmberTools package. The total average energy was calculated by summation of van der Waals, electrostatic, polar solvation, and nonpolar solvation values (internal $=0$ for reference). The average energy values presented in Table S1, Supporting Information, helped to qualitatively identify the chemical nature of these interactions. The results suggest that Ser 42 interacts with the dG adducts primarily through van der Waals interactions. ${ }^{33}$ Upon visual inspection of trajectories, Ser42 was found to interact with $\pi$ cloud of aromatic moiety through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions. ${ }^{40}$ To verify this, the distance between $\mathrm{C}^{\beta}$ of Ser42 and the centroid of the $N^{2}$-modified aromatic moiety was plotted for all of the complexes (Figure S14, Supporting Information). The distances were in the appreciable range, i.e., $<4 \AA$ in all of the complexes, favorable for van der Waals interactions. In fact, there is a correlation between this distance and the dihedral $\xi$ responsible for the particular orientation of the adducts (Figure S13, Supporting Information). When the distance is between 3 and $4 \AA$, the


Figure 5. Superposition of representative structures of ensembles 1 and 2 of $N^{2}$-dG-modified DNA-Pol IV ternary complexes. Only residues of interest are highlighted. (A) $N^{2}$-Bn complex; (B) $N^{2}$-Naph complex; (C) $N^{2}$-Anth complex; and (D) $N^{2}$-Pyre complex. Lime green colored cartoon representation is used for the ensemble 1 and light pink colored cartoon representation is used for the ensemble 2. DNA backbone is represented in white for both the representative structures. Pale green colored carbon framework is used for $N^{2}$-adducts for ensemble 1 and pale pink colored carbon framework for ensemble 2. Nitrogen atoms are represented in blue and oxygen atoms in red. All of the hydrogens are removed for clarity.
orientation of aromatic moiety favors strong $\pi$-cloud interactions with the Ser42 residue.

Apart from Ser42, the other major interacting residues displayed similar noncovalent contacts. Phe76 played a crucial role in the complexes with high steric bulkiness, primarily by forming T -shaped $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions with the adducts (Figures 5 and 7). The Phel3 also exhibited $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions. This residue exhibited significant interactions in Anth complex compared to moderate interactions found in Bn, Anth, and Pyre complexes (Figures 5 and 7). Similarly, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of $\alpha$ carbon ( $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}$ ) from Gly 32 showed $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in Naph and Pyre (Figure 7B,D) complexes. ${ }^{40}$ The role of Tyr79 was found to be strategic as it interacts with Phel3 through parallel displaced stacking and with Phe76 through T-shaped interactions, which add strong hydrophobicity to the surroundings (Figures 5 and 7). Ile 31 and Pro73 were also found to form moderate to weak interactions in addition to weak interactions from Gly33 and Gly74 in all of the complexes. The RMSF values for these residues in each of the complexes are reported in Table S2.

The RMSF values were mostly $<1 \AA$, indicating the importance of these residues in accommodating the adducts.

The representative structures of other ensembles of each complex had similar interaction patterns as discussed above. Overall, the van der Waals interactions are the major forces responsible for the accommodation of $N^{2}$-modified moieties in the active site of Pol IV. The residues Phe13, Ile31, Gly32, Gly33, Ser42, Pro73, Gly74, Phe76, and Tyr79 were found to form a hydrophobic pocket ${ }^{6}$ into which the adducts are accommodated and our current MD results support the same. The role of Ser42 was elucidated before, ${ }^{6,41}$ and our results from the MD simulations underscore the importance of this residue in stabilizing nonpolar adducts through van der Waals interactions. General interaction patterns from Phe13, Phe76, Tyr79, and Gly 32 were also identified. In summary, our MD studies provide insights on the molecular interactions that are responsible for the accommodation of $N^{2}$-dG adducts and their bypass by E. coli Pol IV, which are in line with the results emerged from the experiments.



Figure 6. Plot of dihedral Xi ( $\xi$, shown above using red arrow) vs time for all the complexes. Black, red, blue, and pink colors were used to represent $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn},-\mathrm{Naph},-\mathrm{Anth}$, and -Pyre complexes, respectively.


Figure 7. van der Waals interactions between AAs of Pol IV and $N^{2}$-adducts found in DNA-Pol IV ternary complexes. (A) $N^{2}$-Bn complex; (B) $N^{2}$-Naph complex; (C) $N^{2}$-Anth complex; and (D) $N^{2}$-Pyre complex. Phe76 interacts with the $N^{2}$-adduct through T-shaped C-H $\cdots \pi$ interactions in the Bn, Naph, Anth, and Pyre complexes. Carbon atom linked to O-H of Ser42 interacts with $\pi$ cloud of Naph, Anth, and Pyre moieties. The backbone atom of Gly32 as well interacts with adducts through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in Naph and Pyre complexes. Phel3 as well forms T-shaped interactions with Anth moiety.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed a robust and reliable protocol for the synthesis of $N^{2}$-aryl-dG modified DNAs. These modified DNAs were utilized to investigate the effect of steric bulkiness of the $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ adducts on the TLS by Pol IV from E. coli. The Pol IV was found to be efficient in bypassing these adducts with high fidelity but with low processivity. Molecular modeling and dynamics studies were also carried out by incorporating these $N^{2}$-aryl-dG DNA adducts at the active site of E. coli Pol IV. The results revealed a common mechanism used by the enzyme that involves using its hydrophobic pocket at the active site to accommodate these modifications resulting in the efficient bypass of adducts. Further structural studies to validate these observations are currently being pursed.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All chemicals and dry solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without any further purification. Toluene, ACN, DCM, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DIPEA, dioxane, and pyridine were dried using calcium hydride. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel plates precoated with fluorescent indicator with visualization by UV light (at 260 nm ) or by dipping into a solution of $5 \%$ conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in EtOH (v/v) and heating. Silica gel ( $100-200$ mesh) was used for column chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 or 400 MHz ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 or 100 MHz ), and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 202 or 162 MHz ) were recorded on 500 or 400 MHz instruments. Chemical shifts in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) are reported downfield from TMS ( 0 ppm ) and referenced to the TMS signal or residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent as follows: $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(3.31 \mathrm{ppm})$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(77.2 \mathrm{ppm})$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(49.1 \mathrm{ppm})$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Multiplicities of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spin couplings are reported as s for singlet, br s for broad singlet, d for doublet, t for triplet, br t for broad triplet, dd for doublet of doublet, ddd for doublet of doublet of doublets, quint for quintet or m for multiplet and overlapping spin systems. Values for apparent coupling constants ( $J$ ) are reported in Hz . High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from Q-TOF analyzer in positive ion electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. All of the modified DNA sequences were synthesized using an automated solid phase synthesizer. Mass spectra of DNA oligonucleotides were obtained by MALDI (in positive linear or reflectron mode) or ESI (in negative mode). E. coli Pol IV was expressed and purified in house. ${ }^{41}$

General Procedure for the Buchwald-Hartwig Coupling and Deacetylation. In a screw capped tube, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (0.1 equiv) and (R)-BINAP ( 0.3 equiv) were taken and flushed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas followed by addition of dry toluene ( $9.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for $5 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.4 equiv), respective amines ( 1.1 equiv), and bromo nucleoside 1 (1 equiv) were added in the sequential manner. Further, the tube was purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas, sealed with a Teflon-lined cap, and heated in an oil bath at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was passed through a Celite pad and washed with EtOAc ( 250 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated to give a crude $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ coupled product. Further, the crude compound was subjected directly to deacetylation using $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in EtOH ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 22 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The oily residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to obtain deprotected nucleosides.
$\mathrm{N}^{2}$-Benzyl-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (3a). $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, $(R)$-BINAP $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07$ mmol ), dry toluene ( 3 mL ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzylamine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and bromo nucleoside 1 ( 150 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used and heated for 18 h to give a brown crude compound 2 a ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was further dissolved in $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 3 h . Silica gel column chromatography ( $4 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$
in DCM) yielded slightly brownish solid 3a ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ after two steps). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.47\left(10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in DCM); mp: 60-63 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.12-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.60 (dd, $J=5.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (ddd, $J=14.2,9.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.1,158.5,152.8,146.9$, 145.9, 139.3, 139.1, 130, 128.7, 127.3, 127.3, 123.8, 116.5, 89.1, 87.3, 73.3, 66.1, 63.5, 46.1, 40.2, 35.1; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 507.1992$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 507.1980$ ( $\Delta m$ - 0.0012, error -2.4 ppm ).
$N^{2}$-(1-Naphthylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (3b). The $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}),(R)$-BINAP ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dry toluene ( 7 mL ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(214 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65$ mmol ), 1-naphthylmethylamine ( $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and bromo nucleoside $1(269 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used and heated for 21 h to give a dark brown crude compound 2 b ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was further dissolved in $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . Silica gel column chromatography ( $4 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) yielded yellow solid 3b ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$, after two steps). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.49$ ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM); mp: $67-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.09-8.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (br s, 1 H$), 6.18$ (dd, $J=9.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (d, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.00 (ddd, $J=14,9.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ (dd, $J$ $=13.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 161$, 158.4, 153, 146.8, 145.6, 139.2, 134.2, 133.9, 131.3, 129.8, 129, 128.1, 126.4, 126, 125.5, 125.1, 123.7, 123.1, 116.4, 89, 87, 73, 66.1, 63.3, 44, 40.1, 35; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 557.2149 ; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 557.2156(\Delta m+0.0007$, error +1.3 ppm).
$N^{2}$-(9-Anthracenylmethyl)- $\mathbf{O}^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (3c). $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}),(R)$-BINAP ( 33 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry toluene ( 2 mL ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, 9 -(aminomethyl)-anthracene ${ }^{29}(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, and bromo nucleoside $1(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used and heated for 8 h to give a dark brown crude compound $2 \mathrm{c}(127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$, which was further dissolved in $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(3.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 3 h . Column chromatography ( $3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) yielded slightly greenish compound 3c ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ after two steps). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\left(10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in DCM); mp: $83-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.12-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 161.1,158.3,153.1,146.9,145.9,139.2,131.6$, 130.6, 130, 129.3, 128.7, 128.2, 126.6, 125.2, 124.1, 123.8, 116.5, 88.8, 87, 73, 66.3, 63.2, 40.1, 38.9, 35.3; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 607.2300$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 607.2297$ ( $\Delta m$ -0.0003 , error -0.5 ppm ).
$N^{2}$-(1-Pyrenylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (3d). ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, (R)-BINAP (132 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry toluene ( 7 mL ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(323 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99$ mmol), 1-(aminomethyl)pyrene ${ }^{30}(182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$, and bromo nucleoside $1(403 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used and heated in an oil bath for 21 h at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get crude compound 2d ( $547 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76$ mmol ), which was further dissolved in $33 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ in EtOH ( 15 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h 15 min . Column chromatography on a silica gel $(2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM) yielded yellow compound 3 d ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ after two steps). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.49$ ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM); mp: $75-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}+\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 8.08-7.89 (m, 7H), $7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (br s, 2H), 6.86 (br s,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (ddd, $J=14.5,9.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}+\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.9,158.5,152.9,146.4$, $145.5,139.1,132.4,131.3,130.7,129.4,128.4,127.9,127.4,127.2$, 126.2, 125.4, 125.2, 124.9, 124.8, 123.4, 122.5, 115.9, 88.8, 87, 72.5, 65.9, 63.2, 44, 40.1, 34.7; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$631.2300; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 631.2293(\Delta m-0.0007$, error -1 ppm ).

General Procedure for the DMT-Protection. The $N^{2}$ -modified-dG nucleosides (1 equiv) was coevaporated with dry pyridine ( 5 mL ) and dissolved in the same solvent $(10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$. To this DMT-Cl ( 1.22 equiv) was added and stirred at room temperature. Further, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The DCM layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The crude DMT-protected compound was purified by silica gel column chromatography (using appropriate solvents along with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to afford pure compound.
$N^{2}$-Benzyl-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-5'-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-$2^{\prime}$-deoxyguanosine (4a). Compound 3a ( $251 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMT-Cl $(332 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred for 24 h . Column chromatography ( $90 \%$ DCM in pet. ether $+2 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 4 a as a pale yellow solid ( $237 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.55\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}: 71-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.13-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (dd, $J=9.8,4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.87-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (ddd, $J=13.2,6.2,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.6,158.8,158.7,153.8,146.9$, 146.1, 144.7, 139.6, 137.9, 135.8, 130.1, 130, 128.6, 128.2, 128, 127.4, 127.2, 127, 123.8, 115.5, 113.3, 86.6, 85.9, 84, 72.8, 66, 64.1, 55.3, 46.9, 39.5, 35.3. HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8},[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 809.3299$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 809.3329(\Delta m+0.003$, error +3.7 ppm).
$N^{2}$-(1-Naphthylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-5'-(4,4'-di-methoxytrityl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (4b). Compound $3 \mathbf{b}$ ( 171 mg , $0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMT-Cl $(126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred for 10 h . Column chromatography ( $1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ as a yellow solid ( 154 mg , $60 \%) . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}: 85-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.08-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-$ $6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-$ $4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.85-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (ddd, $J=13.5,6.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.7,158.7,158.6,146.8,146,144.7$, $137.9,135.8,134.9,134.5,133.9,131.4,130.1,129.8,129,128.2$, 128.1, 128, 127, 126.5, 126, 125.6, 123.8, 123.4, 115.7, 113.3, 86.6, 85.8, 84, 72.7, 66, 64.1, 55.3, 44.1, 39.6, 35.2; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 859.3455$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 859.3478$ $(\Delta m+0.0023$, error $+2.7 \mathrm{ppm})$.
$N^{2}$-(9-Anthracenylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-5'-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (4c). Compound 3c (162 mg, 0.26 mmol ) in dry pyridine ( 3 mL ) and DMT-Cl ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53$ mmol ) was stirred for 10 h . Column chromatography $(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 4 c as a slightly greenish solid $(177 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%) . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}$ : $86-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.46-6.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.59$
$(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.15$ (br s, 2 H$), 2.91-2.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 160.7, 158.6, 153.9, 146.8, 146.1, 144.6, 137.7, 135.8, 135.7, 131.6, $130.5,130.1,130,130,129.4,129.3,129.1,128.3,128.2,128,127$, $126.5,125.2,124.2,123.8,115.5,113.2,86.6,85.9,83.9,72.8,66$, 64.1, 55.3, 45.9, 38.7, 35.3; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 909.3606$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 909.3608(\Delta m+0.0002$, error $+0.1 \mathrm{ppm})$.
$N^{2}$-(1-Pyrenylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)-5'-(4,4'-dime-thoxytrityl)-2'-deoxyguanosine (4d). Compound 3d (151 mg, 0.24 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMT-Cl $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred for 12 h . Column chromatography $(1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 4 d as a pale yellow solid ( 178 mg , $76 \%) . R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.55\left(2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{DCM}+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$; mp: $77-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-$ $8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.30(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.39 (dd, $J=9.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (dd, $J=10,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (br s, 2 H ) , 2.87-2.77 (m, 1H), 2.37 (ddd, $J=13.4,6.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 160.7,158.7,158.6$, 153.9, 146.6, 145.9, 144.7, 137.9, 135.8, 132.4, 131.4, 130.8, 130.1, 129.7, 128.2, 128, 127.5, 127.4, 127, 126.2, 125.5, 125.3, 125, 124.9, 124.8, 123.6, 122.8, 115.7, 113.3, 86.6, 85.9, 84, 72.8, 65.9, 64.1, 55.3, 44.3, 39.6, 35.1; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}$, [M $+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 955.3426$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 955.3431(\Delta m+0.0005$, error +0.6 ppm ).

General Procedure for the Phosphitylation. DMT protected nucleoside ( 1 equiv) was dissolved in DCM ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by addition of DIPEA ( 8 equiv) and CEP-Cl ( 2 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After completion, the reaction was quenched by addition of a trace amount of MeOH and stirred for 5 to 10 min . Further, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{DCM}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Crude compound was purified by silica gel column chromatography (using appropriate solvents along with $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to obtain phosphitylated compound.
$N^{2}$-Benzyl-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-3'-(2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidite)-2'-deoxyguanosine (5a). Nucleoside 4a (150 mg, 0.18 mmol$)$, DCM ( 2 mL ), DIPEA $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol})$, and CEP-Cl $(127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used and stirred for 2 h . Further, $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for an additional 10 min . Column chromatography (35\% DCM in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 5 a as a pale yellow solid ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.65$ ( $90 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ in pet. ether + $2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ); mp: $58-61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.81$, 148.63; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 1009.4377; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1009.4348(\Delta m-0.0029$, error -3 ppm).
$N^{2}$-(1-Naphthylmethyl)-O6-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)5'-O-(4,4'-di-methoxytrityl)-3'-(2-cyanoethyldiisopropylphosphoramidite)-2'deoxyguanosine (5b). The tritylated nucleoside $\mathbf{4 b}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol), DCM ( 2 mL ), DIPEA ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and CEP-Cl ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used and stirred for 2 h 15 min . Further, $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for an additional 10 min . Column chromatography ( $35 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.65$ ( $75 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ); mp: 70-73 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 148.72$, 148.57; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$1059.4528; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1059.4529$ $(\Delta m+0.00009$, error $+0.1 \mathrm{ppm})$.
$N^{2}$-(9-Anthracenylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-3'-(2-cyanoethyldiisopropylphosphoramidite)-2'deoxyguanosine (5c). Nucleoside 4c ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DCM ( 2 mL ), DIPEA ( $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and CEP-Cl ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37$ mmol ) were used and stirred for 1 h . Further, $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for an additional 10 min . Column chromatog-
raphy $\left(40 \% \mathrm{DCM}\right.$ in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 5 c as a slightly greenish solid ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.60(75 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ); mp: $77-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 148.85, 148.72; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{63} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1109.4685$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1109.4686(\Delta m+0.00009$, error $+0.1 \mathrm{ppm})$.
$N^{2}$-(1-Pyrenylmethyl)-O ${ }^{6}$-(2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl)5'-O-(4,4'-di-methoxytrityl)-3'-(2-cyanoethyldiisopropylphosphoramidite)-2'deoxyguanosine (5d). Nucleoside 4d ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DCM $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, DIPEA $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, and CEP-Cl $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42$ mmol ) were used and stirred for 1 h 15 min . Further, $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5$ mL ) was added and stirred for an additional 10 min . Column chromatography ( $45 \% \mathrm{DCM}$ in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) yielded compound 5 d as a pale yellow solid ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.66$ ( $75 \%$ DCM in pet. ether $+2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ); mp: $80-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 148.72; HRMS (ESI): Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}$, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1133.4685$; found, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 1133.4690(\Delta m+0.0005$, error +0.4 ppm ).

Solid Phase Synthesis, Deprotection, and Purification of $N^{2}$-dG Modified Oligonucleotides. All DNA sequences (Table 1) were synthesized on $1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ scale using appropriate CPG solid supports. Unmodified and modified phosphoramidite building blocks were dried under $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for 24 h and then dissolved in anhydrous ACN and stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$ ) for 10 h . Concentration used for the unmodified phosphoramidite was 0.067 M and for modified phosphoramidite was 0.1 M . Coupling time used for unmodified phosphoramidite was 2 min and for the $N^{2}-\mathrm{dG}$ modified phosphoramidites was 6 min . After solid phase synthesis, deprotection of $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}, N^{2}$-Naph, $N^{2}$-Anth, and $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG modified oligos were carried out in four different steps. Initially, the deprotection of the cyanoethyl group of the modified DNA sequences were carried out using $10 \%$ diethylamine in ACN (800 $\mu \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 5 min . Supernatant layer was collected, and solid support was washed with ACN $(2 \times 400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The CPG beads were air-dried ( 3 h ) and treated with 1 M DBU in $\mathrm{ACN}(1 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ for 1 h at room temperature to remove the NPE group. ${ }^{23}$ After 1 h , the supernatant was removed and the CPG beads were washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{ACN}(3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. Furthermore, the modified DNAs intact with CPG was treated with 1 mL of $30 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ for 3 h for the cleavage of solid support. To remove the base protecting groups, the supernatant solution was evaporated and again resuspended in 1 mL of $30 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ and heated at 55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Further, the ammonia layer was evaporated to obtain the pellet of crude DNAs, which was purified by using $20 \%$ ( 7 M urea) denaturing PAGE ( $30 \mathrm{~W}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) with 1 X TBE running buffer ( 89 mM each Tris and boric acid and 2 mM EDTA, $\mathrm{pH} \sim 8.3$ ). The gel thickness was 1 mm , and the gel dimension was $20 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$. The desired oligo bands were visualized and marked under the UV lamp ( 260 nm ). Further, the gel bands were cut and crushed into fine particles. The crushed gels were extracted three times with 15 mL of TEN buffer ( 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ $\sim 8.0$ ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally, desalting of oligos were carried out using Sep-Pak column and the concentrations of $N^{2}$-dG modified DNAs were measured at 260 nm in UV-vis spectrophotometer using the appropriate molar extinction coefficients $(\varepsilon)$.

5'-Radiolabeling of Primers. The radiolabeling reaction mixture contains the DNA ( 25 pmol ), T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) enzyme (5 U), and $\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP $(20 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$ in 1 X PNK buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.1 mM spermidine, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.6 ). The total reaction volume was $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The reaction mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h followed by deactivation of PNK enzyme by heating at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min. The radiolabeled DNAs (primers and 50-mer DNA standard) were purified using nucleotide removal kit using the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

Primer Extension Assay. The primer extension reactions were carried out using the mixture of 1:1.2 molar ratios of the primers with the unmodified and $N^{2}$-dG modified DNA templates. Initially, the primer-template mixture was annealed by heating at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by slow cooling to room temperature for 30 min .

After annealing, the primer extension reactions were performed with primer-template duplex in a total volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, containing 40 nM primer, traces of $5^{\prime}$-radiolabeled primer, 50 nM template, 50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of BSA, 0.1 mM of ammonium sulfate, $2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 X assay buffer ( 125 mM Tris-Cl, 5 mM dithiothreitol, pH 8.0 ), Pol IV ( 4 nM ), and individual dNTP or mixture of dNTPs (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The primer extension reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min, and the reactions were initiated by the addition of the desired amount of dNTPs. The reactions were conducted for the indicated amount of time, and aliquots were withdrawn and quenched by the addition of stop solution ( $80 \%$ formamide, $0.025 \%$ each bromophenol blue, xylene cyanol and 50 mM EDTA). The extended products were run on $20 \%$ denaturing PAGE (7 M urea), autoradiogram was generated, and the bands were quantified by ImageQuantTL software. The running start experiments were carried out using $15-\mathrm{mer}$ and 11 -mer primers employing a mixture of dNTPs $(250 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The reaction conditions were the same as described previously. The total reaction volume contains 40 nM primer, traces of $5^{\prime}$-radiolabeled primer, and 50 nM of each template. Finally, the reactions were terminated and analyzed as mentioned previously.

Molecular Modeling and Dynamics Studies. The modified nucleotides are constructed using GaussView and submitted to RED Server Development ${ }^{42-45}$ for the calculation of restraint electrostatic potential (RESP) charges and parameters (bond, angle, and dihedral) required for the simulation (Figure S15-S18, Supporting Information). The originally reported crystal structure (PDB ID: 4Q43) contains chemically modified dCTP at the active site which was required to prevent catalysis during crystallization. The modified dCTP is replaced with normal dCTP, and previously calculated bond, angle, and dihedral parameters were used (http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/REDDB/projects/F-90/). The amber force fields ff $99 \mathrm{SB}^{46}$ and parmbsc0 ${ }^{47}$ were used for protein and DNA, respectively. The parameters for dCTP and modified nucleotides along with parameters for protein, DNA, and ions were fed to Leap program of AmberTools package to prepare input files. The $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions reported in the original crystal structure were retained. Additional potassium ions were added to neutralize the system. Each system was then immersed in TIP3P water box with water molecules extending up to $10 \AA$. Then each complex was minimized in two stages to remove any atomic clashes.

Energy minimization was carried out using SANDER module of Amber 14. In the first step, the $N^{2}$-dG adduct was left free and minimized for 10000 steepest descent energy cycles, whereas in the second step the $N^{2}$-dG adduct was restricted and minimized for 10000 steepest descent energy minimizations. Later the system was heated from 0.1 K to close to 300 K over 100 ps with constant volume. Then the complexes were heated to final temperature (300 K) at constant pressure. During the heating, the DNA was restricted with a restraint of $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \AA^{2}$. This restriction was slowly removed over 8 stages of equilibration (with restraints of $10,8,5,4$, $3,2,1$, and $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \AA^{2} 30 \mathrm{ps}$ each). Each equilibration was carried under constant pressure and temperature (NPT) conditions. The pressure was maintained at 1 atm using Bendersen weakcoupling barostat with a pressure relaxation time of 2 ps. The temperature was maintained at 300 K using Langvein dynamics. The equilibration was followed by energy minimization (10000 steepest descent cycles) and the minimized structure was used as starting structure for the subsequent equilibration. After 8 stages, 100 ps of equilibration was run under NPT conditions without any restrictions before proceeding to the production run. 100 ns of unrestrained dynamics (under NPT conditions) was performed on each complex using GPU accelerated version of Particle Mesh Ewald Molecular Dynamics (PMEMD) implemented in Amber 14. ${ }^{39,48-50}$ A cutoff value of $10 \AA$ is set for calculations of nonbonded interactions by Particle Mesh Ewald (PME) method. The SHAKE algorithm was used to constraint all of the bonds involving hydrogen atoms with an integration time step of 2 fs . The output coordinate files were saved for every 2 ps and a total of 50000 snapshots ( $100 \mathrm{~ns} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{were}$ recorded for each complex.

The trajectories were visualized using UCSF Chimera. ${ }^{51}$ CPPTRAJ module of Amber 14 was used for calculations of dihedrals, RMSD values, RMSF values, H-bond occupancies, and clustering of dynamics. Only heavy atoms were considered for the calculation of RMSD values. Distances between Ser and $N^{2}$-adducts were calculated using per frame analysis in UCSF Chimera. A distance of $3.0 \AA$ and angle of $150^{\circ}$ were used as cutoff values for H-bond calculations. A cut-off distance of $4.0 \AA$ is used for van der Waals interactions. Clustering analysis was carried out employing hierarchical agglomerative approach using mass weighed RMSD. Interaction energies are calculated using MM/GB-SA ${ }^{52}$ method implemented as the program MMPBSA.py ${ }^{53}$ in AmberTools package. Images are rendered using PyMol (www.pymol.org).
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## Nucleotide incorporation using DNA Pol IV with unmodified template

A
P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAGGCAT-3'
T : 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'


Figure S1. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of primer extension reactions with E. coli DNA polymerase IV. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, $\mathrm{P}(15-\mathrm{mer})$; ( B ) reactions with dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=$ dG): Lanes: P:primer, C:dCTP, A:dATP, G:dGTP, T:dTTP, N:mixture of dNTPs ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). All reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $\sim 93 \%$ incorporation of dCTP), $1 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 94 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) and $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 93 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) time course.

## Nucleotide incorporation using DNA Pol IV with $N^{2}$-Bn-dG template

## A

P: 5'-CGTACTCGTAGGCAT-3' T: 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'
B

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}
$$

Figure S2. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of primer extension reactions with E. coli DNA polymerase IV. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, $\mathrm{P}(15-\mathrm{mer})$; (B) reactions with $N^{2}$-Bn-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Bn-dG): Lanes: P:primer, C:dCTP, A:dATP, G:dGTP, T:dTTP, $\mathrm{N}:$ mixture of dNTPs ( 10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$. All reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(\sim 78 \%$ incorporation of dCTP), 1 h ( $\sim 77 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) and $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 81 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) time course.

## Nucleotide incorporation using DNA Pol IV with $N^{2}$-Naph-dG template

## A <br> P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAGGCAT-3' T: 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'

B $\quad$ X = Naph-dG


Figure S3. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of primer extension reactions with E. coli DNA polymerase IV. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, P ( $15-\mathrm{mer}$ ); (B) reactions with $N^{2}$-Naph-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Naph-dG): Lanes: P:primer, C:dCTP, A:dATP, G:dGTP, T:dTTP, N:mixture of dNTPs ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). All reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(\sim 66 \%$ incorporation of dCTP ), $1 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 73 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) and $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 75 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) time course.

## Nucleotide incorporation using DNA Pol IV with $N^{2}$-Anth-dG template

## A <br> P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAGGCAT-3' T: 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'



Figure S4. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of primer extension reactions with E. coli DNA polymerase IV . (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, P ( 15 -mer); (B) reactions with $N^{2}$-Anth-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Anth-dG): Lanes: P:primer, C:dCTP, A:dATP, G:dGTP, T:dTTP, N:mixture of dNTPs ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). All reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(\sim 49 \%$ incorporation of $\mathrm{dCTP}), 1 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 58 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) and $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 62 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) time course.

## Nucleotide incorporation using DNA Pol IV with $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG template

## A <br> P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAGGCAT-3' <br> T: 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'



Figure S5. PAGE (20\%, 7 M urea) of primer extension reactions with E. coli DNA polymerase IV. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, P ( 15 -mer); (B) reactions with $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Pyre-dG): Lanes: P:primer, C:dCTP, A:dATP, G:dGTP, T:dTTP, N:mixture of dNTPs ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). All reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(\sim 51 \%$ incorporation of dCTP), $1 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 61 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) and $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 66 \%$ incorporation of dCTP) time course.

## Full length extension reactions using DNA Pol IV with unmodified, $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}, N^{2}$-NaphdG templates

A
P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAG-3'
T : 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'
B
C
D

$$
X=d G \quad X=B n-d G \quad X=\text { Naph }-d G
$$

0 (11nt)-


Figure S6. PAGE ( $20 \%, 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea) of full length extension reactions using the E. coli DNA polymerase IV with all dNTPs. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, P (11-mer); (B) reactions with dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{dG}$ ); (C) reactions with $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}$ template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{dG}$ ); ( D ) reactions with $N^{2}$-Naph-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Naph-dG). Lane P, primer; lanes 1 to 6: primer extension reactions with $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of mixture of dNTPs in different time course from $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, $1,2,4$ and 8 h ; lane C, 50 -mer size standard. All the reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Full length extension reactions using DNA Pol IV with unmodified, $N^{2}$-Anth-dG, $N^{2}$ -Pyre-dG templates

A
P : 5'-CGTACTCGTAG-3'
T : 3'-GCATGAGCATCCGTAXTCACACTGGTCGACAAGTCCATCCGTGCCATCCT-5'
B
C

$$
X=d G \quad X=\text { Anth-dG } \quad X=\text { Pyre }-d G
$$

0 (11nt) -
lane $P 123456123456123456 C$

Figure S7. PAGE ( $20 \%$, 7 M urea) of full length extension reactions using the E. coli DNA polymerase IV with all dNTPs. (A) Complete sequence of template, T and primer, P (11-mer); (B) reactions with dG template $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{dG})$; $(\mathrm{C})$ reactions with $N^{2}$-Anth-dG template ( $\mathrm{X}=N^{2}$-Anth-dG); (D) reactions with $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG template $\left(\mathrm{X}=N^{2}\right.$-Pyre-dG). Lane P, primer; lanes 1 to 6 : primer extension reactions with $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of mixture of dNTPs in different time course from $30 \mathrm{sec}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, 1, 2, 4 and 8 h ; lane C , 50 -mer size standard. All the reactions were carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Energy optimized structures of $N^{2}$-modified nucleotides


$N^{2}$-Bn-dG

$\mathbf{N}^{2}$-Naph-dG

$N^{2}$-Anth-dG

$\mathbf{N}^{2}$-Pyre-dG

Figure S8. The energy optimized structures of $N^{2}$-dG adducts that were incorporated into DNA at the active site of DNA-Pol IV ternary complex. Theory level of HF/6-31G* is used for optimization, and calculation of RESP charges. Carbon atoms were represented in green, nitrogen atoms in blue, oxygen atoms using in red, phosphorous atoms using reddish brown and hydrogen atoms using gray colors.

## $N^{2}$-modified DNA-Pol IV ternary complexes before the start of simulation

A.

B.

C.
D.


Figure S9. (A) The sequence of primer and template that was used for dynamics. The modified residue is highlighted in red. (B-D) Zoomed view of active site after incorporating the $N^{2}$-Naph, -Anth and Pyre dG modifications (starting structures). Cyan, magenta and green colours are used for polymerase associated domain (PAD), finger, palm and thumb domains respectively. Carbon atoms are represented in grey, nitrogen atoms in blue, oxygen atoms using in red, phosphorous atoms in reddish brown colours.

## RMSD graphs of DNA and protein backbones for $N^{2}$-modified DNA- Pol IV ternary complexes

A


B



Figure S10. Root mean square deviation (RMSD) plots of DNA and protein backbone of Pol-IVDNA complexes. (A) $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$; (B) $N^{2}-\mathrm{Naph}$;(C) $N^{2}$-Anth; (D) $N^{2}$-Pyre complexes. DNA backbone is plotted using black and protein backbone using red colours. A total of 25000 frames were used for the calculations. CPPTRAJ of Amber14 is used for calculating values.

## RMSF values of DNA nucleotides



Figure S11. Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) plotted against nucleotide number of DNA (refer to S9A for numbering). The bigger rectangle highlights the terminal nucleotides of DNA and the smaller rectangle highlights the residues around the active site. Black, red, blue and pink colors are used to represent the values of $N^{2}$-Bn, -Naph, -Anth and -Pyre complexes respectively. A total of 25000 frames were used for the calculation. CPPTRAJ of Amber14 is used for the calculations.

## RMSF values of Pol IV residues

A


B



Figure S12. Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) plotted against residue number of protein. Black, red, blue and pink were used to represent the values of $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn}, N^{2}-\mathrm{Naph}, N^{2}$-Anth and $N^{2}$-Pyre complexes respectively. A total of 25000 frames were used for the calculation. CPPTRAJ of Amber14 was used for the calculations.

## Similarity in the orientations of $N^{2}$-modified entities in the protein environment during the course of dynamics



Figure S13. Similarity in the orientation of adduct moieties. (A) The representative structures of ensemble 1 of Bn and Naph complexes; (B) The representative structures of ensembe 1 of Anth and Pyre complexes. The orientations of $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn},-\mathrm{Naph}$, and -Pyre are similar and resemble the orientation of furfuryl moiety in the crystal structure (PDB: 4Q43) of $N^{2}$-fdG DNA - Pol IV complex.

Table S1. Pairwise interaction energies of $N^{2}$-modified entities with AAs of Pol IV

|  |  | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Bn}$ | $\mathrm{X}=$ Naph | X=Anth | X=Pyre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residue 1 | Residue 2 | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. |
| $N^{2}$-X-dG | Phe13 | $-0.699 \pm 0.234$ | $-0.736 \pm 0.165$ | $-1.710 \pm 0.373$ | $-0.732 \pm 0.160$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Ile31 | $-1.020 \pm 0.240$ | $-1.033 \pm 0.234$ | $-1.178 \pm 0.374$ | $-1.227 \pm 0.310$ |
| $N^{2}$-X-dG | Gly32 | -1.656 $\pm 0.571$ | -2.121 $\pm 0.411$ | $-0.878 \pm 0.323$ | $-2.805 \pm 0.389$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Gly33 | $-0.864 \pm 0.292$ | $-1.173 \pm 0.233$ | $-0.556 \pm 0.250$ | $-1.842 \pm 0.334$ |
| $N^{2}$-X-dG | Ser42 | $-1.984 \pm 0.433$ | $-2.164 \pm 0.357$ | $-2.217 \pm 0.471$ | $-2.080 \pm 0.356$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Leu71 | $-0.094 \pm 0.030$ | $-0.091 \pm 0.027$ | $-0.064 \pm 0.023$ | $-0.146 \pm 0.0430$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Leu72 | $-0.118 \pm 0.053$ | $-0.138 \pm 0.050$ | $-0.126 \pm 0.058$ | $-0.272 \pm 0.104$ |
| $N^{2}$-X-dG | Pro73 | $-0.642 \pm 0.1946$ | $-0.975 \pm 0.203$ | $-0.705 \pm 0.212$ | $-0.831 \pm 0.240$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Gly74 | $-0.378 \pm 0.160$ | $-0.373 \pm 0.155$ | $-0.385 \pm 0.147$ | $-0.893 \pm 0.394$ |
| $N^{2}$ - X -dG | Phe76 | $-0.263 \pm 0.343$ | $-0.937 \pm 0.62$ | $-1.775 \pm 0.540$ | $-1.669 \pm 0.537$ |
| $N^{2}$-X-dG | Tyr79 | $-0.146 \pm 0.107$ | $-0.195 \pm 0.09$ | $-0.562 \pm 0.163$ | $-0.167 \pm 0.127$ |

All values are reported in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Avg $=\Sigma$ Internal + Electrostatic + vdW+ polar solvation + non polar solvation. Internal comprimises of bond, angle and dihedral energiesand is taken as zero for reference. Electrostatic comprimises of long range electrostatic interactions. vdW comprimises of vander Waals interaction energies. Polar and non polar solvation energies are solvation energies. Energies are calculated over last 20 ns for $N^{2}$-Naph, -Anth and -Pyre complexes, and over last 40 ns for Bn complex. A total of 500 snapshots are used for the calculations. The major contributions of interaction energies in each complexes are highlighted in bold. Calcuations are performed using MM/GB-SA method implemented as the program MMPBSA.py in AmberTools package.

Table S2. RMSF values of residues interacting with the $N^{2}$-modified entities in Pol IVDNA complexes.

|  | RMSF (Å) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residue | Bn | Naph | Anth | Pyre |
| Phe13 | 0.569 | 0.548 | 0.569 | 0.612 |
| Ile31 | 0.775 | 0.610 | 0.635 | 0.656 |
| Gly32 | 0.777 | 0.662 | 0.704 | 0.708 |
| Gly33 | 0.759 | 0.664 | 0.713 | 0.740 |
| Ser42 | 0.729 | 0.632 | 0.63 | 0.647 |
| Pro73 | 1.05 | 0.991 | 0.928 | 0.912 |
| Gly74 | 0.974 | 0.956 | 0.948 | 0.980 |
| Phe76 | 0.981 | 1.002 | 1.055 | 1.019 |
| Tyr79 | 0.858 | 0.806 | 1.057 | 0.932 |

A total of 25000 frames were considered for this calculation. Calculations were performed using CPPTRAJ of Amber 14.

Distance between Ser42 of Pol IV and aromatic entity of $N^{2}$-modified dG incorporated into DNA - Pol IV complex
A.

B.

C.

D.


Figure S14. Distance between carbon atom attached to O-H of Ser42 and centroid of $N^{2}$-modified aromatic entity . Black, red, blue and pink were used to represent the values of $N^{2}-\mathrm{Bn},-\mathrm{Naph},-\mathrm{Anth}$ and -Pyre complexes respectively. Every $1000^{\text {th }}$ frame and a total of 500 frames were used for the calculation.

## Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}-$ Bn-dG nucleotide



## @<TRIPOS>MOLECULE

bD
$46 \quad 49$
1
$0 \quad 1$

SMALL
USER_CHARGES
@<TRIPOS>ATOM

| 1 | O3' | -2.68159 | -0.79023 | -0.6172 | OS | 1 | bD | -0.489 | 0 | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P | -4.11968 | -1.56167 | -0.43549 | P | 1 | bD | 1.2124 | 0 | **** |
| 3 | O1P | -4.4011 | -2.32398 | -1.66085 | O2 | 1 | bD | -0.7918 | 0 | **** |
| 4 | O2P | -5.03515 | -0.58797 | 0.177407 | O 2 | 1 | bD | -0.7918 | 0 | **** |
| 5 | O5' | 1.407465 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | OS | 1 | bD | -0.5225 | 0 | **** |
| 6 | C1' | -0.49443 | 1.787454 | -1.47939 | CT | 1 | bD | 0.2473 | 0 | **** |
| 7 | H1' | 0.470563 | 2.198764 | -1.73299 | H2 | 1 | bD | 0.0872 | 0 | * |
| 8 | C2' | -0.5707 | 1.412072 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | bD | -0.0893 | 0 | * |
| 9 | H2' | -0.01303 | 2.097897 | 0.627461 | HC | 1 | bD | 0.0529 | 0 | **** |
| 10 | H2" | -1.6014 | 1.396675 | 0.327058 | HC | 1 | bD | 0.0529 | 0 | **** |
| 11 | C3' | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | bD | 0.0508 | 0 | **** |
| 12 | H3' | -0.37979 | -0.60417 | 0.815823 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.1138 | 0 | **** |
| 13 | C4' | -0.44398 | -0.5386 | -1.36727 | CT | 1 | bD | 0.1806 | 0 | *** |
| 14 | H4' | 0.373549 | -1.0964 | -1.8072 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.1058 | 0 | **** |
| 15 | C5' | -1.66851 | -1.42999 | -1.32806 | CI | 1 | bD | -0.0525 | 0 | **** |
| 16 | H5' | -1.97909 | -1.63388 | -2.348 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.0882 | 0 | **** |
| 17 | H5" | -1.40386 | -2.37344 | -0.85475 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.0882 | 0 | **** |
| 18 | O4' | -0.70962 | 0.590758 | -2.17137 | OS | 1 | bD | -0.4114 | 0 | **** |
| 19 | N2 | 1.038051 | 6.545553 | -3.07064 | N2 | 1 | bD | -0.6342 | 0 | **** |
| 20 | H22 | 0.991314 | 7.313596 | -3.70269 | H | 1 | bD | 0.3582 | 0 | **** |
| 21 | C6 | -2.55399 | 5.868911 | -3.16616 | C | 1 | bD | 0.6356 | 0 | **** |
| 22 | O6 | -3.49312 | 6.535066 | -3.48579 | O | 1 | bD | -0.5689 | 0 | **** |
| 23 | C5 | -2.47457 | 4.527739 | -2.66253 | CB | 1 | bD | 0.0927 | 0 | **** |
| 24 | N7 | -3.46325 | 3.602563 | -2.40798 | NB | 1 | bD | -0.5591 | 0 | **** |
| 25 | C8 | -2.84085 | 2.576323 | -1.96793 | CK | 1 | bD | 0.1323 | 0 | **** |


| 26 | H8 | -3.28412 | 1.647364 | -1.67872 | H5 | 1 | bD | 0.1736 | 0 | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | N9 | -1.47547 | 2.753719 | -1.90357 | N* | 1 | bD | -0.0242 | 0 | **** |
| 28 | C4 | -1.24528 | 4.010561 | -2.35953 | CB | 1 | bD | 0.1857 | 0 | **** |
| 29 | N3 | -0.02701 | 4.596997 | -2.47477 | NC | 1 | bD | -0.5839 | 0 | **** |
| 30 | C2 | -0.08823 | 5.803639 | -2.92744 | CA | 1 | bD | 0.763 | 0 | **** |
| 31 | N1 | -1.25514 | 6.420772 | -3.26294 | NA | 1 | bD | -0.6607 | 0 | **** |
| 32 | H1 | -1.24303 | 7.373498 | -3.55834 | H | 1 | bD | 0.358 | 0 | **** |
| 33 | C1X | 2.355464 | 5.945939 | -2.90572 | CT | 1 | bD | 0.0307 | 0 | **** |
| 34 | H1X | 2.382914 | 5.471428 | -1.93513 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.076 | 0 | **** |
| 35 | H2X | 2.52053 | 5.168887 | -3.64442 | H1 | 1 | bD | 0.076 | 0 | **** |
| 36 | C2X | 3.42568 | 7.009283 | -3.01202 | CA | 1 | bD | 0.0498 | 0 | **** |
| 37 | C3X | 4.350824 | 6.984631 | -4.0446 | CA | 1 | bD | -0.1413 | 0 | **** |
| 38 | H3X | 4.308226 | 6.200903 | -4.78082 | HA | 1 | bD | 0.1348 | 0 | **** |
| 39 | C4X | 3.498247 | 8.032289 | -2.06959 | CA | 1 | bD | -0.1413 | 0 | **** |
| 40 | H5X | 2.785555 | 8.061588 | -1.26404 | HA | 1 | bD | 0.1348 | 0 | **** |
| 41 | C5X | 5.335037 | 7.959441 | -4.13773 | CA | 1 | bD | -0.1687 | 0 | **** |
| 42 | H7X | 6.04603 | 7.925301 | -4.94371 | HA | 1 | bD | 0.146 | 0 | **** |
| 43 | C6X | 4.47538 | 9.005894 | -2.16102 | CA | 1 | bD | -0.1687 | 0 | **** |
| 44 | H8X | 4.521362 | 9.788959 | -1.42541 | HA | 1 | bD | 0.146 | 0 | **** |
| 45 | C7X | 5.398449 | 8.971241 | -3.19758 | CA | 1 | bD | -0.1091 | 0 | **** |
| 46 | H9X | 6.159404 | 9.727699 | -3.26769 | HA | 1 | bD | 0.1351 | 0 | **** |
| @<TRIPOS>BOND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 6 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 8 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 11 | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 11 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 13 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 13 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 13 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 15 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 15 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 19 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 19 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 19 | 33 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 21 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 21 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 25 | 21 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 23 | 24 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 23 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 24 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 25 | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 25 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 27 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 28 | 29 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 29 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 30 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 31 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 33 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 37 | 33 | 35 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 38 | 33 | 36 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 39 | 36 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 40 | 36 | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 41 | 37 | 38 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 42 | 37 | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 39 | 40 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 44 | 39 | 43 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 45 | 41 | 42 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 46 | 41 | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 47 | 43 | 44 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 48 | 43 | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 49 | 45 | 46 | 1 |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>SUBSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | bD | 1 | **** | 0 | **** |
| @<TRIPOS>HEADTAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| O5' | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>RESIDUECONNECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | P | O5' | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure S15. Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Bn-dG nucleotide in SYBL Mol2 format. Since it was not feasible to directly optimize and calculate charges for the molecular fragments, RESP charges were derived via two-step process. The $N^{2}$-Bn modified dG and dimethyl phosphate were optimized and partial charges were calculated separately for both molecules by the RED Dev. Server (http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/REDServer-Development/). The charges were fitted into single molecular fragment by using PyRED program on the RED Dev. Server. A theory level of HF/6-31G(d) and Gaussian 09 Revision E.01. was used for all calculations by the server. Carbon atoms are represented using green, nitrogen atoms using blue, oxygen atoms using red, phosphorous using brown red and hydrogens using grey colour. Electronic energy for the modified nucleoside: -1226.53639067 (RHF). Electronic energy for the dimethylphosphate: -719.520866767 (RHF). Detailed procedure for the derivation of partial charges for modified nucleotide fragments can be found in the tutorial for the server at: http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/Tutorial/Tutorial-4.php

## Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Naph-dG nucleotide



## @<TRIPOS>MOLECULE

nD
$52 \quad 56$
1
0
1

SMALL
USER_CHARGES
@ < TRIPOS>ATOM

| 1 | O3' | -2.68118 | -0.79113 | -0.61595 | OS | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.4868 | 0 | *** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P | -4.11899 | -1.56297 | -0.43373 | P | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 1.2118 | 0 | **** |
| 3 | O1P | -4.40096 | -2.32483 | -1.65925 | O 2 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.792 | 0 | **** |
| 4 | O2P | -5.03432 | -0.58973 | 0.180106 | O 2 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.792 | 0 | **** |
| 5 | O5' | 1.407427 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | OS | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.5143 | 0 | **** |
| 6 | C1' | -0.49515 | 1.787383 | -1.47947 | CT | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.116 | 0 | **** |
| 7 | H1' | 0.469737 | 2.198828 | -1.73325 | H2 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1374 | 0 | **** |
| 8 | C2' | -0.57076 | 1.412083 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1038 | 0 | **** |
| 9 | H2' | -0.01274 | 2.097974 | 0.627084 | HC | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.061 | 0 | **** |
| 10 | H2" | -1.60128 | 1.396693 | 0.327642 | HC | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.061 | 0 | **** |
| 11 | C3' | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0512 | 0 | **** |
| 12 | H3' | -0.3798 | -0.60412 | 0.815858 | H1 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1228 | 0 | **** |
| 13 | C4' | -0.44405 | -0.53871 | -1.36722 | CT | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1165 | 0 | ** |
| 14 | H4' | 0.373551 | -1.09626 | -1.8073 | H1 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1285 | 0 | ** |
| 15 | C5' | -1.66836 | -1.43037 | -1.32767 | CI | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.0208 | 0 | **** |
| 16 | H5' | -1.97948 | -1.63387 | -2.34751 | H1 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0819 | 0 | *** |
| 17 | H5' | -1.40331 | -2.37397 | -0.85488 | H1 | 1 | nD | 0.0819 | 0 | **** |
| 18 | O4' | -0.71025 | 0.590551 | -2.17123 | OS | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.3645 | 0 | **** |
| 19 | N2 | 1.042264 | 6.506583 | -3.17002 | N2 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.5673 | 0 | *** |
| 20 | H22 | 0.950325 | 7.493819 | -3.25845 | H | 1 | nD | 0.3432 | 0 | *** |
| 21 | C6 | -2.55583 | 5.859564 | -3.18729 | C | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.6188 | 0 | **** |


| 22 | O6 | -3.49383 | 6.517158 | -3.52709 | O | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.5653 | 0 | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | C5 | -2.47668 | 4.525331 | -2.66572 | CB | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1074 | 0 | **** |
| 24 | N7 | -3.4652 | 3.601379 | -2.40566 | NB | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.5702 | 0 | **** |
| 25 | C8 | -2.84229 | 2.576527 | -1.96342 | CK | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1284 | 0 | **** |
| 26 | H8 | -3.28529 | 1.64885 | -1.6697 | H5 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1797 | 0 | **** |
| 27 | N9 | -1.47648 | 2.753371 | -1.90339 | N* | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0063 | 0 | *** |
| 28 | C4 | -1.24664 | 4.007927 | -2.36589 | CB | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.2103 | 0 | **** |
| 29 | N3 | -0.02951 | 4.597839 | -2.47442 | NC | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.588 | 0 | **** |
| 30 | C2 | -0.08967 | 5.795621 | -2.95226 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.7074 | 0 | **** |
| 31 | N1 | -1.2572 | 6.412052 | -3.28676 | NA | 1 | $n D$ | -0.6489 | 0 | ** |
| 32 | H1 | -1.23661 | 7.321517 | -3.69617 | H | 1 | $n D$ | 0.3612 | 0 | ** |
| 33 | C1X | 2.337621 | 6.027024 | -2.70644 | CT | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0008 | 0 | ** |
| 34 | H1X | 2.351775 | 5.958598 | -1.62444 | H1 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0783 | 0 | **** |
| 35 | H2X | 2.479771 | 5.025295 | -3.08465 | H1 | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0783 | 0 | **** |
| 36 | C2X | 3.439481 | 6.953373 | -3.17072 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.0073 | 0 | **** |
| 37 | C3X | 3.833532 | 7.010665 | -4.54849 | CB | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1181 | 0 | **** |
| 38 | C4X | 4.070903 | 7.746335 | -2.26213 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1564 | 0 | *** |
| 39 | H7X | 3.781496 | 7.702907 | -1.22667 | HA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1532 | 0 | **** |
| 40 | C5X | 4.871092 | 7.889122 | -4.9255 | CB | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1046 | 0 | **** |
| 41 | C6X | 3.227359 | 6.211661 | -5.55665 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.2861 | 0 | **** |
| 42 | H10X | 2.429149 | 5.544484 | -5.29533 | HA | 1 | $n D$ | 0.1857 | 0 | **** |
| 43 | C7X | 5.110132 | 8.629725 | -2.64287 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1957 | 0 | **** |
| 44 | H11X | 5.585107 | 9.23988 | -1.89601 | HA | 1 | $n D$ | 0.1582 | 0 | **** |
| 45 | C8X | 5.275071 | 7.948136 | -6.28482 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1946 | 0 | **** |
| 46 | H12X | 6.067593 | 8.622068 | -6.55914 | HA | 1 | $n D$ | 0.1405 | 0 | **** |
| 47 | C9X | 5.497944 | 8.698181 | -3.93907 | CA | 1 | $n D$ | -0.192 | 0 | **** |
| 48 | H13X | 6.287265 | 9.364377 | -4.2399 | HA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1531 | 0 | **** |
| 49 | C10X | 3.637858 | 6.288241 | -6.85064 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.0949 | 0 | **** |
| 50 | H14X | 3.168246 | 5.675422 | -7.59895 | HA | 1 | $n D$ | 0.1347 | 0 | **** |
| 51 | C11X | 4.677517 | 7.170204 | -7.22402 | CA | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1364 | 0 | **** |
| 52 | H15X | 4.990124 | 7.220662 | -8.25147 | HA | 1 | $n D$ | 0.1391 | 0 | **** |


| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 |
| 9 | 6 | 27 | 1 |
| 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 |
| 12 | 8 | 11 | 1 |
| 13 | 11 | 12 | 1 |
| 14 | 11 | 13 | 1 |


| 15 | 13 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 13 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 13 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 15 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 15 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 19 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 19 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 19 | 33 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 21 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 21 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 21 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 23 | 24 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 23 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 24 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 25 | 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 25 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 27 | 28 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 28 | 29 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 29 | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 30 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 31 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 33 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 33 | 35 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 33 | 36 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 36 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 36 | 38 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 37 | 40 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 37 | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 38 | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 38 | 43 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 40 | 45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 40 | 47 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 41 | 42 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 41 | 49 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 43 | 44 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 43 | 47 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 45 | 46 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | 45 | 51 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 47 | 48 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 49 | 50 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 49 | 51 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 51 | 52 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>SUBSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $n \mathrm{D}$ | 1 | **** | 0 | **** | **** |
| @<TRIPOS>HEADTAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| O5' | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| @<TRIPOS>RESIDUECONNECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | P | O5' | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Figure S16. Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}-N a p h-d G$ nucleotide in SYBL Mol2 format. Since it was not feasible to directly optimize and calculate charges for the molecular fragments, RESP charges were derived via two-step process. The $N^{2}$-Naph modified dG and dimethyl phosphate were optimized and partial charges were calculated separately for both molecules by the RED Dev. Server (http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/REDServer-Development/). The charges were fitted into single molecular fragment by using PyRED program on the RED Dev. Server. A theory level of HF/6-31G(d) and Gaussian 09 Revision E.01. was used for all calculations by the server. Carbon atoms are represented using green, nitrogen atoms using blue, oxygen atoms using red, phosphorous using brown red and hydrogens using grey colour. Electronic energy for the modified nucleoside: -1379.18647701 (RHF). Electronic energy for the dimethyl phosphate: -719.520866767 (RHF). Detailed procedure for the derivation of partial charges for modified nucleotide fragments can be found in the tutorial at: http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/Tutorial/Tutorial-4.php

## Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Anth-dG nucleotide



## @<TRIPOS>MOLECULE

aD
58
63
1
0
1

SMALL
USER_CHARGES
@ <TRIPOS>ATOM

| 1 | O3' | -2.68048 | -0.796807 | -0.616424 | OS | 1 | aD | -0.4874 | 0 | ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P | -4.116314 | -1.572411 | -0.434573 | P | 1 | aD | 1.2122 | 0 | *** |
| 3 | O1P | -4.396031 | -2.334899 | -1.660214 | O2 | 1 | aD | -0.7919 | 0 | ** |
| 4 | O2P | -5.03431 | -0.60161 | 0.179148 | O2 | 1 | aD | -0.7919 | 0 | **** |
| 5 | O5' | 1.407456 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | OS | 1 | aD | -0.5209 | 0 | **** |
| 6 | C1 | -0.496378 | 1.787308 | -1.479338 | CT | 1 | aD | 0.1603 | 0 |  |
| 7 | H2 | 0.468453 | 2.198479 | -1.733654 | H2 | 1 | aD | 0.1453 | 0 | *** |
| 8 | C7 | -0.571496 | 1.411666 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | aD | -0.0925 | 0 | **** |
| 9 | H2' | -0.014285 | 2.098231 | 0.627092 | HC | 1 | aD | 0.0572 | 0 | **** |
| 10 | H2" | -1.602188 | 1.395463 | 0.327278 | HC | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0572 | 0 | **** |
| 11 | C3 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | aD | 0.0474 | 0 | *** |
| 12 | H3 | -0.379507 | -0.604443 | 0.815835 | H1 | 1 | aD | 0.1193 | 0 | **** |
| 13 | C9 | -0.443662 | -0.538755 | -1.367407 | CT | 1 | aD | 0.1408 | 0 | **** |
| 14 | H4 | 0.374998 | -1.094563 | -1.807881 | H1 | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.12 | 0 | **** |
| 15 | C10 | -1.665852 | -1.433341 | -1.327977 | CI | 1 | aD | -0.0281 | 0 | **** |
| 16 | H5' | -1.976331 | -1.637572 | -2.34792 | H1 | 1 | aD | 0.0829 | 0 | *** |
| 17 | H5" | -1.398537 | -2.376399 | -0.855284 | H1 | 1 | aD | 0.0829 | 0 | **** |
| 18 | O4 | -0.712711 | 0.589994 | -2.170772 | OS | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | -0.3903 | 0 | ** |
| 19 | C6 | -2.557545 | 5.871019 | -3.147496 | C | 1 | aD | 0.455 | 0 | **** |
| 20 | O6 | -3.491538 | 6.540451 | -3.477678 | O | 1 | aD | -0.5393 | 0 | ** |
| 21 | C5 | -2.478646 | 4.531223 | -2.65084 | CB | 1 | aD | 0.1472 | 0 | *** |
| 22 | N7 | -3.466591 | 3.599525 | -2.409566 | NB | 1 | aD | -0.556 | 0 | ** |
| 23 | C8 | -2.843703 | 2.572301 | -1.975448 | CK | 1 | aD | 0.0931 | 0 | * |
| 24 | H8 | -3.285115 | 1.639536 | -1.695758 | H5 | 1 | aD | 0.1851 | 0 | *** |


| 25 | N9 | $-1.477721$ | 2.752954 | -1.902825 | $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ | 1 | aD | -0.0138 | 0 | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | C4 | -1.247004 | 4.01412 | -2.345967 | CB | 1 | aD | 0.2866 | 0 | **** |
| 27 | N3 | -0.033559 | 4.603832 | -2.442752 | NC | 1 | aD | -0.7132 | 0 | **** |
| 28 | C2 | -0.093444 | 5.817161 | -2.892301 | CA | 1 | aD | 0.5584 | 0 | **** |
| 29 | N1 | -1.256934 | 6.430869 | -3.231813 | NA | 1 | aD | -0.3592 | 0 | **** |
| 30 | H1 | -1.252228 | 7.370226 | -3.563279 | H | 1 | aD | 0.2829 | 0 | **** |
| 31 | N2 | 1.049163 | 6.524658 | -3.023231 | N2 | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | -0.4567 | 0 | **** |
| 32 | H22 | 1.873492 | 5.999192 | -2.837078 | H | 1 | aD | 0.3339 | 0 | **** |
| 33 | C1X | 1.189213 | 7.811594 | -3.684593 | CT | 1 | aD | -0.0168 | 0 | **** |
| 34 | H1X | 0.779144 | 7.773609 | -4.68574 | H1 | 1 | aD | 0.0913 | 0 | **** |
| 35 | H2X | 0.618841 | 8.562178 | -3.15318 | H1 | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0913 | 0 | **** |
| 36 | C2X | 2.645297 | 8.231107 | -3.739998 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.1341 | 0 | **** |
| 37 | C3X | 3.203566 | 8.951948 | -2.673335 | CB | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1123 | 0 | **** |
| 38 | C4X | 3.428019 | 7.901453 | -4.856718 | CB | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1123 | 0 | **** |
| 39 | C5X | 4.551287 | 9.408753 | -2.761371 | CB | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0891 | 0 | **** |
| 40 | C6X | 2.483521 | 9.25348 | -1.46253 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.2138 | 0 | **** |
| 41 | H13X | 1.483758 | 8.888576 | -1.333554 | HA | 1 | aD | 0.1424 | 0 | **** |
| 42 | C7X | 4.77602 | 8.362799 | -4.931047 | CB | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.0891 | 0 | **** |
| 43 | C8X | 2.948239 | 7.095399 | -5.951015 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.2138 | 0 | **** |
| 44 | H15X | 1.955598 | 6.691258 | -5.921265 | HA | 1 | aD | 0.1424 | 0 | **** |
| 45 | C9X | 5.114161 | 10.16676 | -1.680062 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.1696 | 0 | **** |
| 46 | H16X | 6.128945 | 10.51078 | -1.774711 | HA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1341 | 0 | **** |
| 47 | C10X | 5.299348 | 9.113311 | -3.890388 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.2771 | 0 | **** |
| 48 | H17X | 6.314014 | 9.466101 | -3.955551 | HA | 1 | aD | 0.1763 | 0 | **** |
| 49 | C11X | 3.052968 | 9.960476 | -0.465515 | CA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1102 | 0 | **** |
| 50 | H18X | 2.495026 | 10.16527 | 0.430464 | HA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1397 | 0 | **** |
| 51 | C12X | 5.572155 | 8.042811 | -6.082222 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.1696 | 0 | **** |
| 52 | H19X | 6.581767 | 8.412042 | -6.119911 | HA | 1 | aD | 0.1341 | 0 | **** |
| 53 | C13X | 3.733088 | 6.808742 | -7.009055 | CA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1102 | 0 | **** |
| 54 | H20X | 3.350896 | 6.198443 | -7.807404 | HA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1397 | 0 | **** |
| 55 | C14X | 4.396042 | 10.43806 | -0.574438 | CA | 1 | aD | -0.1449 | 0 | **** |
| 56 | H21X | 4.823612 | 11.0046 | 0.232774 | HA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | 0.1422 | 0 | **** |
| 57 | C15X | 5.07447 | 7.297557 | -7.086167 | CA | 1 | $a \mathrm{D}$ | -0.1449 | 0 | **** |
| 58 | H22X | 5.676389 | 7.05913 | -7.944143 | HA | 1 | aD | 0.1422 | 0 | **** |
| @<TRIPOS>BOND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 6 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 12 | 8 | 11 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 11 | 12 | 1 |
| 14 | 11 | 13 | 1 |
| 15 | 13 | 14 | 1 |
| 16 | 13 | 15 | 1 |
| 17 | 13 | 18 | 1 |
| 18 | 15 | 16 | 1 |
| 19 | 15 | 17 | 1 |
| 20 | 19 | 20 | 1 |
| 21 | 19 | 21 | 1 |
| 22 | 19 | 29 | 1 |
| 23 | 21 | 22 | 1 |
| 24 | 21 | 26 | 1 |
| 25 | 22 | 23 | 1 |
| 26 | 23 | 24 | 1 |
| 27 | 23 | 25 | 1 |
| 28 | 25 | 26 | 1 |
| 29 | 26 | 27 | 1 |
| 30 | 27 | 28 | 1 |
| 31 | 28 | 29 | 1 |
| 32 | 28 | 31 | 1 |
| 33 | 29 | 30 | 1 |
| 34 | 31 | 32 | 1 |
| 35 | 31 | 33 | 1 |
| 36 | 33 | 34 | 1 |
| 37 | 33 | 35 | 1 |
| 38 | 33 | 36 | 1 |
| 39 | 36 | 37 | 1 |
| 40 | 36 | 38 | 1 |
| 41 | 37 | 39 | 1 |
| 42 | 37 | 40 | 1 |
| 43 | 38 | 42 | 1 |
| 44 | 38 | 43 | 1 |
| 45 | 39 | 45 | 1 |
| 46 | 39 | 47 | 1 |
| 47 | 40 | 41 | 1 |
| 48 | 40 | 49 | 1 |
| 49 | 42 | 47 | 1 |
| 50 | 42 | 51 | 1 |
| 51 | 43 | 44 | 1 |
| 52 | 43 | 53 | 1 |
| 53 | 45 | 46 | 1 |
| 54 | 45 | 55 | 1 |
| 55 | 47 | 48 | 1 |
| 56 | 49 | 50 | 1 |
| 57 | 49 | 55 | 1 |


| 58 | 51 | 52 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | 51 | 57 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 53 | 54 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | 53 | 57 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | 55 | 56 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 57 | 58 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>SUBSTRUCTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | $a D$ | 1 | **** | 0 | **** | **** |
| @<TRIPOS>HEADTAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O5' | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| @<TRIPOS>RESIDUECONNECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | P | O5' | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Figure S17. Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Anth-dG nucleotide in SYBL Mol2 format. Since it was not feasible to directly optimize and calculate charges for the molecular fragments, RESP charges were derived via two-step process. The $N^{2}$-Anth-dG and dimethyl phosphate were optimized and partial charges were calculated separately for both molecules by the RED Dev. Server (http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/REDServer-Development/). The charges were fitted into single molecular fragment by using PyRED program on the RED Dev. Server. A theory level of HF/6-31G(d) and Gaussian 09 Revision E.01. was used for all calculations by the server. Carbon atoms are represented using green, nitrogen atoms using blue, oxygen atoms using red, phosphorous using brown red and hydrogens using grey colour. Electronic energy for the modified nucleoside: -1531.82187224 (RHF). Electronic energy for the dimethyl phosphate: -719.520866767 (RHF). Detailed procedure for the derivation of partial charges for modified nucleotide fragments can be found in the tutorial at: http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/Tutorial/Tutorial-4.php

## Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG nucleotide


@<TRIPOS>MOLECULE
pD
60
66
1
0
1

SMALL
USER_CHARGES
@<TRIPOS>ATOM

| 1 | O3' | -2.681156 | -0.791215 | -0.615972 | OS | 1 | pD | -0.4898 | 0 | **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | P | -4.118943 | -1.563114 | -0.433784 | P | 1 | pD | 1.2125 | 0 | * |
| 3 | O1P | -4.400874 | -2.324942 | -1.659327 | O2 | 1 | pD | -0.7918 | 0 | * |
| 4 | O2P | -5.034311 | -0.589923 | 0.180078 | O2 | 1 | pD | -0.7918 | 0 | *** |
| 5 | O5' | 1.407421 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | OS | 1 | pD | -0.5215 | 0 | ** |
| 6 | C1' | -0.495142 | 1.787407 | $-1.479458$ | CT | 1 | pD | 0.1472 | 0 | ** |
| 7 | H1' | 0.469754 | 2.19884 | -1.733225 | H2 | 1 | pD | 0.1324 | 0 | *** |
| 8 | C2' | -0.570782 | 1.412075 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | pD | -0.1132 | 0 | *** |
| 9 | H2' | -0.012779 | 2.09796 | 0.627113 | HC | 1 | pD | 0.0599 | 0 | * |
| 10 | H2" | -1.601304 | 1.396675 | 0.327615 | HC | 1 | pD | 0.0599 | 0 | * |
| 11 | C3' | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | CT | 1 | pD | 0.0717 | 0 | *** |
| 12 | H3' | -0.379802 | -0.604131 | 0.815848 | H1 | 1 | pD | 0.1157 | 0 |  |
| 13 | C4' | -0.444029 | -0.538697 | -1.367228 | CT | 1 | pD | 0.1242 | 0 | * |
| 14 | H4' | 0.373593 | -1.09623 | -1.807292 | H1 | 1 | pD | 0.123 | 0 | * |
| 15 | C5' | -1.668316 | -1.430394 | -1.327702 | CI | 1 | pD | -0.0162 | 0 | *** |
| 16 | H5' | -1.979423 | -1.633877 | -2.347556 | H1 | 1 | pD | 0.0801 | 0 | * |
| 17 | H5" | -1.403234 | -2.373996 | -0.854941 | H1 | 1 | pD | 0.0801 | 0 | * |
| 18 | O4' | -0.71023 | 0.590557 | -2.171236 | OS | 1 | pD | -0.3762 | 0 | * |
| 19 | N2 | 1.042706 | 6.50573 | -3.168799 | N2 | 1 | pD | -0.5248 | 0 | **** |
| 20 | H22 | 0.953403 | 7.492275 | -3.265402 | H | 1 | pD | 0.3304 | 0 | * |
| 21 | C6 | -2.555656 | 5.859584 | -3.187515 | C | 1 | pD | 0.6265 | 0 | * |
| 22 | O6 | -3.493706 | 6.51716 | -3.527235 | O | 1 | pD | $-0.5682$ | 0 | **** |
| 23 | C5 | -2.476509 | 4.525317 | -2.666029 | CB | 1 | pD | 0.0963 | 0 | *** |
| 24 | N7 | -3.465074 | 3.601329 | -2.406141 | NB | 1 | pD | -0.5624 | 0 | * |
| 25 | C8 | -2.842247 | 2.576514 | -1.963734 | CK | 1 | pD | 0.1123 | 0 | * |
| 26 | H8 | -3.285296 | 1.648837 | -1.670071 | H5 | 1 | pD | 0.1858 | 0 | *** |


| 27 | N9 | -1.476443 | 2.753393 | -1.903398 | N* |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | C4 | -1.246511 | 4.007956 | -2.365859 | CB |
| 29 | N3 | -0.02938 | 4.597766 | -2.474402 | NC |
| 30 | C2 | -0.089597 | 5.79572 | -2.952118 | CA |
| 31 | N1 | -1.257066 | 6.412189 | -3.286827 | NA |
| 32 | H1 | -1.236697 | 7.322463 | -3.694458 | H |
| 33 | C1X | 2.338341 | 6.022359 | -2.70864 | CT |
| 34 | H1X | 2.341212 | 5.920149 | -1.629324 | H1 |
| 35 | H2X | 2.492147 | 5.033049 | -3.114031 | H1 |
| 36 | C2X | 3.437346 | 6.973133 | -3.131617 | CA |
| 37 | C3X | 3.859147 | 7.074292 | -4.466645 | CB |
| 38 | C4X | 4.042056 | 7.766681 | -2.168766 | CA |
| 39 | H3X | 3.720456 | 7.686508 | -1.145126 | HA |
| 40 | C5X | 4.89267 | 7.979067 | -4.799794 | CB |
| 41 | C6X | 3.279369 | 6.280369 | -5.530464 | CA |
| 42 | H10X | 2.48625 | 5.597875 | -5.295772 | HA |
| 43 | C7X | 5.052243 | 8.65583 | -2.489022 | CA |
| 44 | H11X | 5.501573 | 9.25646 | -1.718126 | HA |
| 45 | C8X | 5.343342 | 8.093684 | -6.156764 | CB |
| 46 | C9X | 5.492249 | 8.776747 | -3.799049 | CB |
| 47 | C10X | 3.70436 | 6.388535 | -6.796348 | CA |
| 48 | H13X | 3.25805 | 5.78701 | -7.568452 | HA |
| 49 | C11X | 4.757118 | 7.302921 | -7.165732 | CB |
| 50 | C12X | 6.376147 | 8.998342 | -6.488165 | CB |
| 51 | C13X | 6.547083 | 9.694036 | -4.170043 | CA |
| 52 | H16X | 6.992321 | 10.29429 | -3.396438 | HA |
| 53 | C14X | 5.205707 | 7.425931 | -8.478153 | CA |
| 54 | H18X | 4.758116 | 6.822604 | -9.24812 | HA |
| 55 | C15X | 6.798085 | 9.09404 | -7.809276 | CA |
| 56 | H19X | 7.584514 | 9.782507 | -8.06397 | HA |
| 57 | C16X | 6.963623 | 9.798798 | -5.437118 | CA |
| 58 | H20X | 7.749415 | 10.48524 | -5.698695 | HA |
| 59 | C17X | 6.216887 | 8.313789 | -8.794928 | CA |
| 60 | H21X | 6.55439 | 8.399435 | -9.812137 | HA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | @<TRIPOS>BOND


| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 11 | 1 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| 8 | 6 | 18 | 1 |
| 9 | 6 | 27 | 1 |
| 10 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 |


| 12 | 8 | 11 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 11 | 12 | 1 |
| 14 | 11 | 13 | 1 |
| 15 | 13 | 14 | 1 |
| 16 | 13 | 15 | 1 |
| 17 | 13 | 18 | 1 |
| 18 | 15 | 16 | 1 |
| 19 | 15 | 17 | 1 |
| 20 | 19 | 20 | 1 |
| 21 | 19 | 30 | 1 |
| 22 | 19 | 33 | 1 |
| 23 | 21 | 22 | 1 |
| 24 | 21 | 23 | 1 |
| 25 | 21 | 31 | 1 |
| 26 | 23 | 24 | 1 |
| 27 | 23 | 28 | 1 |
| 28 | 24 | 25 | 1 |
| 29 | 25 | 26 | 1 |
| 30 | 25 | 27 | 1 |
| 31 | 27 | 28 | 1 |
| 32 | 28 | 29 | 1 |
| 33 | 29 | 30 | 1 |
| 34 | 30 | 31 | 1 |
| 35 | 31 | 32 | 1 |
| 36 | 33 | 34 | 1 |
| 37 | 33 | 35 | 1 |
| 38 | 33 | 36 | 1 |
| 39 | 36 | 37 | 1 |
| 40 | 36 | 38 | 1 |
| 41 | 37 | 40 | 1 |
| 42 | 37 | 41 | 1 |
| 43 | 38 | 39 | 1 |
| 44 | 38 | 43 | 1 |
| 45 | 40 | 45 | 1 |
| 46 | 40 | 46 | 1 |
| 47 | 41 | 42 | 1 |
| 48 | 41 | 47 | 1 |
| 49 | 43 | 44 | 1 |
| 50 | 43 | 46 | 1 |
| 51 | 45 | 49 | 1 |
| 52 | 45 | 50 | 1 |
| 53 | 46 | 51 | 1 |
| 54 | 47 | 48 | 1 |
| 55 | 47 | 49 | 1 |
| 56 | 49 | 53 | 1 |
| 57 | 50 | 55 | 1 |



Figure S18. Cartesian coordinates and RESP charges calculated for the $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG nucleotide in SYBL Mol2 format. Since it was not feasible to directly optimize and calculate charges for the molecular fragments, RESP charges were derived via two-step process. The $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG and dimethyl phosphate were optimized and partial charges were calculated separately for both molecules by the RED Dev. Server (http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/REDServer-Development/). The charges were fitted into single molecular fragment by using PyRED program on the RED Dev. Server. A theory level of HF/6-31G(d) and Gaussian 09 Revision E.01. was used for all calculations by the server. Carbon atoms are represented using green, nitrogen atoms using blue, oxygen atoms using red, phosphorous using brown red and hydrogens using grey colour. Electronic energy for the modified nucleoside: -1607.59876044 (RHF). Electronic energy for the dimethyl phosphate: -719.520866767 (RHF). Detailed procedure for the derivation of partial charges for modified nucleotide fragments can be found in the tutorial at: http://upjv.q4md-forcefieldtools.org/Tutorial/Tutorial-4.php

NMR spectra $\left({ }^{1} \mathbf{H},{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}, \&^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}\right.$ ) (G-Grease, Im-Impurity)
${ }^{1}$ H NMR for Compound 3a




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound 3a


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 a}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 a}$


${ }^{31}$ P NMR for Compound 5a

${ }^{1}$ H NMR for Compound 3b




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound 3b


[^1]${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 b}$

${ }^{31}$ P NMR for Compound 5b


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{3 c}$


| 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 6 | 6 | 5 |

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound $3 \mathbf{c}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 c}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 c}$

${ }^{13}$ P NMR for Compound $5 \mathbf{5}$

M. mind

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for Compound 3d

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound 3d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for Compound $\mathbf{4 d}$
N.


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR for Compound $4 \mathbf{d}$

${ }^{31}$ P NMR for Compound 5d


ESI-MS of D2, 5'-TCTG1GGGTCCTAGGACCC-3' $N^{2}$-Bn-dG
Calc. mass, $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$5581.7; Obs. mass, $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 5581.9$


MALDI of D6, $5^{\prime}$-TCTG2GGGTCCTAGGACCC-3' $N^{2}$-Naph-dG
Calc. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5632.8$; Obs. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5635.1$


MALDI of D10 5'-TCTG3GGGTCCTAGGACCC-3' $N^{2}$-Anth-dG
Calc. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5682.9$; Obs. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5682.0$


MALDI of D14 5'-TCTG4GGGTCCTAGGACCC-3' $N^{2}$-Pyre-dG
Calc. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5706.9$; Obs. mass, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 5705.8$
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